

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	
United States Patent and Trademark	Office \
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT	6/ \
P.O. Box 1450	V . 1
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	1 1 /:
www.uspto.gov	\mathbf{V}_{i}
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1 \	

				$I \setminus V I$
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,607	01/17/2002	Kemma S. Dodds		11/32
26689 75	590 07/02/2004		EXAM	INER —
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON 225 WEST WACKER DRIVE		GRANT,	GRANT, ALVIN J	
CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/051,607	DODDS, KEMMA	A S.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin J Grant	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
Period for Reply	DI VIC CETTO EVDIDE 1	MONTH(S) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the fiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		- Canada	
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	•	·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ea Office Action or form P	10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Copies of the cartified copies of the			al Stage
 Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But 		an received in this Nationa	ıı Olaye
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.	
Attachmont/c\			
Attachment(s)		w Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) I I Interviev	W Jullillary (F 10-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P	TO 450)

Application/Control Number: 10/051,607

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informality:

In the last line change "and attachment" to read, "an attachment".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11 and 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davis '752.

Davis discloses a turbine rotor comprising: a rotor body having an inlet attachable to a high pressure air source, including: a first annular chamber, a second annular chamber, and a common inner wall, wherein the first annular chamber and the second annular chamber are separated by the common inner wall, the rotor body being cylindrical and including a plurality of tangential peripheral nozzles in fluid communication with the housing first chamber and the housing second chamber for expelling high pressure air to rotate the rotor body, the inner wall including a central bore for receiving an attachment to a drive shaft; an RPM governor in the first chamber and the second chamber; the governor includes a front wall, at least one spiraling wall barrier extending from the outer portion of each annular chamber through a valve o-ring of the rotor body,

Application/Control Number: 10/051,607

Art Unit: 3723

two valve o-rings (60A and 60B) within each annular chamber, an annular perforated barrier within each annular chamber extending outward from the valve o-ring, and a back wall; each perforated barrier is integral with the rotor body of the rotor; the front wall and the front interior surface of the inner wall a grooved for fitting a first perforated barrier, and the back interior surface of the inner wall are grooved for fitting a second perforated barrier; the o-ring is resilient rubber; the common wall comprises: one or more additional annular chambers and the two arcuate chambers which terminate openings in the circumference of the rotor body, and an additional annular perforated barrier is located within each additional annular chamber located radially outward from an additional valve o-ring (column 2, line 29-column 5, line 39), and at least one additional valve o-ring is located radially inward from the additional annular perforated barrier; the inner wall comprises a narrow waist; the front wall and the back wall are releasably attached to the inner wall; and a hand-held tool (Fig. 1 and column 1, lines 65-68) comprising: a high torque turbine rotor body located circumferentially around the primary shaft, wherein the turbine rotor body includes: a front wall adapted for fitting with the inner wall, including: a central bore; an inner wall adapted for fitting with the front wall, including: at least two annular chambers, at least one arcuate chamber radiating from the outer portion of each annular chamber through a valve o-ring in the rotor body, a valve o-ring within each annular chamber, an annular perforated barrier within each chamber located radially outward from the valve o-ring, and a central bore, and a back wall adapted for fitting with the inner wall; including a central bore.

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Hanaway '107.

Davis is described above. Davis neither discloses an assembly made of plastic nor the walls being attached by frictional contact. Hanaway disclose turbine equipment wherein the enclosure is made of plastic because of its insulating properties, and the chambers are held together by frictional contact for ease of disassembling. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the apparatus of Davis out of plastic and secure the chambers by frictional contact as taught by Hanaway, so as to respectively facilitate the insulation thereof and to provide for ease of dismantling.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Page 5

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305-3315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph J. Hail, III
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3700

Technology Center 3700

ajg